



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/662,443                       | 09/16/2003                        | Tomohiro Yamaguchi   | 018656-678          | 9825             |  |
| 21839<br>Buchanan                | 7590 11/13/200<br>INGERSOLL & ROO |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404 VO, QUANG N |                                   |                      | ANG N               |                  |  |
| ALEXANDRIA                       | A, VA 22313-1404                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                  |                                   |                      | 2625                |                  |  |
|                                  |                                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                  |                                   |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                  |                                   |                      | 11/13/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |          |
|--|---|--|----------|
| 10/662,443 YAMAGUCHI ET /  |   |  |          |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |
|  | Quang N. Vo   | 2625   |          |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   |          |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>te, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |
| Status   |   |  |          |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 (2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under   | is action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal mat   | • •  | <b>3</b> |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |          |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.   |  |          |
| Application Papers   |   |  |          |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | cepted or b) objected to<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeya<br>ction is required if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c   | 1).      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |          |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>Its have been received in A<br>ority documents have beer<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                   | Application No<br>received in this National Stage  |          |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |          |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application<br>  |          |

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohuchi (US 5,025,481).

With regard to claim 1, Ohuchi discloses an image processing apparatus (e.g., a dot region discriminating apparatus, column 5, lines 32-36) that handles image data, comprising: a dividing unit for dividing image data into large blocks (e.g., dividing the input image into blocks B each comprising N x N pixels, column 18, lines 15-17) of a prescribed size and further subdividing the large blocks into multiple smaller blocks (e.g., each block B is subdivided into the small regions, column 18, lines 17-18); a large block isolated point calculation unit for calculating the number of isolated points contained in each large block established by said dividing unit (e.g., counting number of extreme points, column 6, lines 13-16); a small block isolated point calculation unit which

Art Unit: 2625

calculate the number of isolated points contained in each small block established by the dividing unit (e.g., the number q of extreme points is obtained for each of the small regions, column 18, lines 15-21); and a halftone-dot region determination unit for determining whether or not a large block is a halftone-dot region based on the number of isolated points calculated by said large block isolated point calculation unit and the number of the isolated points calculated by said small block isolated point calculation unit (e.g., the region discrimination signal output part, column 18, lines 32-36).

With regard to claim 2, Ohuchi discloses wherein said halftone-dot region determination unit is operable determine that a large block is a halftone-dot region if the number of isolated points in the large block equals or exceeds a first prescribed value (column 19, lines 35-44) and the number of isolated points in each small block contained in the large block equals or exceeds a second prescribed value (e.g., Q > Qth, column 20, lines 39-52).

With regard to claim 3, Ohuchi discloses wherein the second prescribed value is smaller than the first prescribed value (e.g., the larger numbers as the number of extreme points of block B, column 19, lines 8-21).

With regard to claim 6, Ohuchi discloses an image processing apparatus (e.g., a dot region discriminating apparatus, column 5, lines 32-36) that handles image data, comprising: a dividing unit for dividing image data into multiple small blocks (e.g., each block B is subdivided into the small regions, column 18, lines 17-18); a small block isolated point calculation unit for calculating the number of

Art Unit: 2625

isolated points contained in each small block established by the dividing unit (e.g., the number q of extreme points is obtained for each of the small regions, column 18, lines 15-21); a large block isolated point calculation unit for calculating the number of isolated points contained in a large block of the image data, the large block being composed of multiple smaller blocks based on the small block isolated point totals calculated by the small block isolated point calculation unit (e.g., counting number of extreme points, column 6, lines 13-16); and a halftone-dot region determination unit for determining whether or not the large block is a halftone-dot region based on the number of isolated points calculated by the large block isolated point calculation unit and the number of isolated points calculated by the small block isolated point calculation unit (e.g., the region discrimination signal output part, column 18, lines 32-36).

With regard to claim 7, the subject matter is similar to claim 2. Therefore the rejection on claim 7 is set forth as above claim 2.

With regard to claim 8, the subject matter is similar to claim 3. Therefore the rejection on claim 8 is set forth as above claim 3.

With regard to claim 21, Ohuchi discloses wherein the number of isolated points contained in the large block equals the number of isolated points that said small block isolated point calculation unit calculates for each small block composing the large block (column 18, lines 15-21).

With regard to claim 22, Ohuchi discloses wherein said large block isolated point calculation unit is operable to calculate the number of isolated points contained in the large block by calculating the number of isolated points

Art Unit: 2625

contained in a plurality of contiguous small blocks within a predetermined area of the image data (column 18, lines 15-31).

## Referring to claim 11:

Claim 11 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 1 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 1. Therefore claim 11 is rejected as set forth above for claim 1.

## Referring to claim 12:

Claim 12 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 2 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 2. Therefore claim 12 is rejected as set forth above for claim 2.

## Referring to claim 13:

Claim 13 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 3 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 3. Therefore claim 13 is rejected as set forth above for claim 3.

#### Referring to claim 14:

Claim 14 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 6 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 6. Therefore claim 14 is rejected as set forth above for claim 6.

# Referring to claim 15:

Claim 15 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 2 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 2. Therefore claim 15 is rejected as set forth above for claim 2.

#### Referring to claim 16:

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 16 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 3 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 3. Therefore claim 16 is rejected as set forth above for claim 3.

With regard to claim 18, Ohuchi discloses substantially the claimed invention as set forth in the discussion above for claim 1.

Ohuchi does not disclose expressly a plurality of counters to count number of isolated points contained in a corresponding one of the small blocks.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a counter to count isolated points for each small block. Applicant has not disclosed that plurality of counters to count each small block provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a counter to count plurality of small blocks because both perform the same function of counting isolated points.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Ohuchi with to obtain the invention as specified in claim 18.

With regard to claim 19, Ohuchi discloses wherein said halftone-dot region determination unit comprises: a first determination unit for determining whether the calculated number of isolated points in a large block equals or exceeds a threshold value (column 6, lines 11-19); a second determination unit for determining whether a predetermined number of said plurality of isolated point counters of said small block isolated point calculation unit have each counted at

Art Unit: 2625

least one isolated point in the corresponding small block contained in the large block (column 18, lines 15-21); and a third determination unit for determining whether the large block is a halftone-dot region based on the determination results of said first determination unit and second determination unit (column 19, lines 8-21).

With regard to claim 20, Ohuchi discloses wherein said third determination unit is operable to determine that the large block is a halftone-dot region if said first determination unit determines that the calculated number of isolated points in the large blocks equals or exceeds the threshold value (column 19, lines 54-61), and said second determination unit determines that the predetermined number of said isolated point counters have each counted at least one isolated point in the corresponding small block contained in the large block (column 20, lines 39-52).

Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 17, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohuchi (US 5,025,481) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kingetsu et al. (Kingetsu) (US 6,268,935).

With regard to claim 4, Ohuchi differs from claim 4, in that he does not explicitly teach an image processing unit for correcting the image data based on the results of determination by said halftone-dot region determination unit.

Kingetsu discloses an image processing unit for correcting the image data based on the results of determination by said halftone-dot region determination unit (e.g., blocks 18-25, 27-36, figure 1).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Ohuchi to include an image processing

Art Unit: 2625

unit for correcting the image data based on the results of determination by said halftone-dot region determination unit as taught by Kingetsu. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Ohuchi by the teaching of Kingetsu to correct digital image.

With regard to claim 5, Kingetsu discloses further comprising: an image forming unit which performs image formation based on the image data corrected by said image processing unit (e.g., bit map formation section 26, figure 1).

With regard to claim 9, the subject matter is similar to claim 4. Therefore the rejection on claim 9 is set forth as above claim 4.

With regard to claim 10, the subject matter is similar to claim 5. Therefore the rejection on claim 10 is set forth as above claim 5.

With regard to claim 17, Kingetsu discloses further comprising a character determination unit (e.g., dot detection section, column 3, lines 23-26) for determining whether at least one character region exists in the image data, wherein: said image processing (e.g., blocks 18-25, 27-36, figure 1) unit is operable to correct the image data based on the results of determination by said halftone-dot region determination unit and said character determination unit (e.g., dot detection section, discrimination section, figure 1); and said image forming unit is operable to perform image formation based on the image data corrected by said image processing unit (e.g., bit map formation section 26, figure 1).

With regard to claim 23, Kingetsu discloses further comprising a character determination unit for determining whether at least one character region exists in the image data (column 3, lines 22-26), wherein: said image processing unit is

Art Unit: 2625

operable to correct the image data based on the results of determination by said halftone-dot region determination unit and said character determination unit (column 4, lines 20-37); and said image forming unit is operable to perform image formation based on the image data corrected by said image processing unit (e.g., block 26, figure 1, column 12, lines 30-36).

With regard to claim 24, Kingetsu discloses an image processing method as claimed in claim 11, further comprising the steps of: correcting the image data based on the results of determination of said determining step (column 4, lines 20-37); and forming images based on the corrected image data (e.g., block 26, figure 1, column 12, lines 30-36).

With regard to claim 25, Kingetsu discloses an image processing method as claimed in claim 14, further comprising the steps of: correcting the image data based on the results of determination of said determining step (column 4, lines 20-37); and forming images based on the corrected image data (e.g., block 26, figure 1, column 12, lines 30-36).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Vo whose telephone number is 5712701121. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5:00PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on 5712727440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Quang N. Vo 11/3/07

**Patent Examiner** 

Quangilo

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER